

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/696,674	10/25/2000	Yasushi Sasagawa	FUJY 17.914 4572	
75	90 08/10/2006		EXAM	INER
Katten, Muchi	n, Zavis & Rosenman	WONG, BLANCHE		
575 Madison Av	ve.			
New York, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/696,674	SASAGAWA, YASUSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Blanche Wong	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,6-12 and 17-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 6-10 and 17-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,11,12,22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the content o	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the bounder of the boundary	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/696,674

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: "divided LSRs" in line 8 should be replaced with –defined LSRs--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1,11,12,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 1, it is unclear which is the LSR in line 8. Examiner suggests replacing "the LSR terminating the LSP within plurality of logically defined LSRs" with "one of the plurality of logically defined LSRs terminating the LSP". Similarly in claim 12.

4. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the MPLS-to-IP forwarding function of a port group in an intra-system other egress node" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Similarly in claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/696,674

Art Unit: 2616

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art of Fig. 3.

With regard to claim 1, Fig. 3 discloses

a step of logically defining a label switch router (LSR) connected to an MPLS network (ATM) and a non-MPLS network (Ethernet) as a plurality of LSRs (adapters) each having a label switching function (IP/MPLS forwarder is mounted in each adapter) and each having a port or a port group (see connections from adapters to the networks); and

a step of specifying only, when setting a label switched path (LSP) on the basis of an explicit route specified (from upper left adapter to upper right adapter), a port or a port group of an egress node (the upper right adapter) that corresponds to one of the plurality of logically defined LSRs (adapters) terminating the LSP (the upper right adapter).

With regard to claim 12, see analysis for claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-10,17-21 are allowed.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

8. Claims 12 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BW

August 2, 2006

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600